

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,197	07/16/2001	Sami Nuutinen	P 282647 2990210US/W/HER	3048	
909	7590 10/25/2005		· EXAM	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP			TRINH, I	TRINH, MINH N	
P.O. BOX 1	0500				
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3729		

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0 •
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/905,197 NUUTINEN, SAMI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh Trinh	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	'S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commul BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	<u>May 2005</u> .		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 10,12-17 and 19-30 is/are pending i	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-23,29 and 30</u> is/	• •	eration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,12-17,19,20 and 24-28</u> is/are allo	owed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		· ·	
3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the pri	=	received in this National Stag	je
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
t)	rabei 1400	surial Date.	

Application/Control Number: 09/905,197 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. Newly submitted claims 21-23 and 29-30 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

a) The scope of the newly added claims is not the same as the rejected claims 10-20 as originally claimed. For examples: claims 21-23 directed to a holder and claims 29-30 directed to a method which are clearly distinct from "a noise suppression unit "as originally claimed. Further, these inventions are unrelated because each invention does have its own mode of operations, functions and /or effects.

b) Also, Applicant is not entitled to examination of multiple independent inventions in one application because examination of the independent inventions herein would present a serious burden to the Office in as much as the searches are not coextensive and the art is quite prolific. Further, the Office generally does not permit shift of invention (see section 819 of the MPEP).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 21-23 and 29-30 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

In the claims:

Art Unit: 3729

Claims 21-23 and 29-30 are requested to be <u>cancelled</u>.

Applicant should carefully revise the disclosure and correct other typos in the claims and/or specification so as to clearly define the claimed invention.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm. mt

MINHTRINH PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/18/05